Interdisciplinary treatment of a patient with 11 missing permanent teeth:
A biomimetic approach
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The current level of technology and specialisation in all areas of life allow us to assess correctly our capabilities and limitations in the treatment of our patients. We are no longer trying to improve nature, but we are doing everything to imitate it as perfectly as possible, drawing from its best solutions. This is the essence of biomimetics. It has already been applied in many fields of science.
and technology, including medicine and dentistry. By applying the biomimetic approach to the treatment of four patients, we can achieve satisfying results aesthetically and functionally. The aim of the biomimetic approach is to respect nature and effect as little irreversible change as possible. It is very important for young adult patients, whose entire lives lie before them, and has a great influence on their treatment planning, especially in patients with multiple agenesis. Undoubtedly, this is a therapeutic challenge and requires extensive knowledge, experience and close collaboration between different specialists in dentistry.

_Case description_

A 19-year-old female sought treatment at the Department of Orthodontics at the Medical University of Warsaw because of her congenitally missing permanent teeth (Figs. 1a & b). During an interview, she reported that her brother and mother also had several missing teeth. Clinical examination revealed a persistent primary maxillary right second molar, the absence of all maxillary premolars, two mandibular second premolars and one mandibular incisor. A panoramic radiograph confirmed the absence of the seven permanent teeth above and all third molars (Fig. 2).

_Occlusal analysis_

The midline of the maxillary arch did not coincide with the facial midline. The midline of the mandibular arch could not be assessed owing to the presence of three mandibular incisors. The lateral crossbite on the right side was present.

Figs. 5a–d. Occlusal contacts after orthodontic treatment, showing the rounded shape of the maxillary incisors.

Figs. 6a & b. Plaster models without (a) and with the diagnostic wax-up (b).

Fig. 7. A panoramic radiograph after implantation.

Figs. 8a–c. Delayed implantation of the implant in region 14.
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Transverse and vertical relationships were normal (Figs. 3a–c, 4a & b). During lateral excursions, there was no canine guidance on both sides and traumatic occlusion was present at the second molars. During protrusion, the incisal guidance was maintained.

The incisal edges of the maxillary lateral incisors were rounded, and midline diastemas were present in the maxillary and mandibular arches.

_Fig. 10_ The prepared feldspathic veneers for the two maxillary lateral incisors.

The first stage of treatment included the orthodontic treatment to correct the lateral crossbite, close spaces in the anterior segment and restore coincidence between the midline of the maxillary arch and the facial midline. The treatment plan also included restoring the coincidence between the maxillary midline and the line between two mandibular incisors on the left side. Therefore, two incisors were left on the right side, whereas on the left side the canine was moved to the position of one premolar on each side of the maxilla and the second premolars in the mandible. The missing teeth were to be replaced with crowns supported by implants, while the shape of incisors was to be changed with veneers and direct composite.

_Orthodontic treatment_

Combined orthodontic, prosthodontic, and implant treatment was planned, aimed at restoring aesthetics and function with the maximum preservation of hard tissue, while replacing the missing teeth and reshaping the maxillary lateral incisors. It was planned to close the diastemas between the teeth, restore the midline in both arches and canine guidance, and gain the space necessary for one premolar on each side of the maxilla and the second premolars in the mandible.
the missing lateral incisor. Normal intercuspation and canine guidance were achieved on both sides. In the mandibular arch on the left side, the left canine assumed the function of the lateral incisor and the left premolar that of the canine. During orthodontic treatment, the persistent primary tooth was retained, to provide additional anchorage and to maintain the width of the alveolar process.

Implant-prosthodontic treatment

After orthodontic treatment had been completed, a new occlusal analysis was performed to evaluate the aesthetics and to establish the implant-prosthodontic rehabilitation necessary. Photographs were taken at different angles and diagnostic casts were mounted in an Artex partially adjustable articulator (AmannGirrbach) using the facebow registration and the centric relation registration techniques by Dawson.

Analysis of occlusion and articulation

Normal occlusion was present, and incisal and canine guidance was restored (disclosure of posterior teeth during protrusion and laterotrusion). Normal occlusal contacts and intercuspation were present. In centric relation, no premature contacts and traumatic occlusion were seen in articulation (centric relation = maximum intercuspation). No subjective or objective temporomandibular joint problems were registered. Spaces were closed and tooth contacts were restored in the maxillary and mandibular anterior segments. The space necessary to restore missing teeth 24, 25 and 45 was established by orthodontic treatment. In order to restore missing tooth 14, the space was maintained by retaining the persistent primary molar (Figs. 5a–d).

White and red aesthetics

About 1 mm of the incisal edges was visible with lips in the rest position. During smiling, normal exposure of the maxillary teeth was present and the incisal line did not follow the curvature of the lower lip. In order to maintain the canine guidance, elongation of the maxillary incisors was not possible. The anterior gingival margin line was normal.

Treatment plan re-evaluation

In order to establish the treatment plan and to analyse aesthetics in the anterior segment, the diagnostic wax-up and mock-up were created, which enable an assessment of the proportions

Figs. 13a–d. The maxillary lateral incisors without and with veneers, showing perfect white and red aesthetics.

Figs. 14a–d. Gingival shaping with the healing screw and temporary crown (a & b), zirconia abutment and final restoration (c & d).

Figs. 15a–c. Teeth contacts after prosthodontic treatment.
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between the maxillary lateral incisors and canines to maintain the normal proportions between the central and lateral incisors. The patient is still using permanent and removable retainers. The persistent primary tooth 55 was scheduled for extraction. Restoration of all the missing premolars was planned using implant-supported ceramic crowns.

**Implant treatment**

CBCT was performed to evaluate the anatomical and surgical conditions, and to plan the surgical treatment. Owing to sufficient height and width of the alveolar process at the implant sites, guided bone regeneration was not required. TSIII implants (OSSTEM; 4 mm × 10 mm S, 3.5 mm × 10 mm M) were used in regions 14 and 24, and TSII implants (OSSTEM; 3.5 mm × 10 mm M, 3.5 mm × 10 mm M) were used in regions 35 and 45. However, delayed implantation in region 14 was performed four weeks after the extraction of tooth 55 (Figs. 7 & 8a–c).

**Prosthodontic treatment**

Recontouring of the central incisors was performed using the direct method with GRADIA DIRECT composite (GC Europe) and a two-component adhesive system, CLEARFIL SE BOND (Kuraray Noritake). Mesial angles were recontoured using the standard Hawe celluloid matrix system (Kerr). The composite surface was prepared and polished using Sof-Lex discs (3M ESPE; Figs. 9a & b). The contours of the veneers for teeth 12 and 22 were checked again using a mock-up, and then minor adjustments were performed. Using a specially trimmed silicone mock-up, the amount of space for the planned ceramic reconstructions was determined and the prepa-
ration of teeth was abandoned. After cleaning the teeth with pumice and introducing Ultrapak #00 retraction cord (Ultradent) into the gingival sulcus, two-layer single-phase impressions were taken using polyvinyl siloxane impression material (Bisico).

Once the final restorations had been received from the laboratory (Fig. 10), their integrity, match to the abutments and colour were checked using a Variolink Try-in paste (Ivoclar Vivadent). The abutment surfaces were isolated with a rubber dam and cleaned with pumice, then rinsed thoroughly with water and etched with 37% phosphoric acid for 45 seconds. They were then rinsed with water for the same period. Subsequently, Variolink Veneer light-curing adhesive composite was applied. Meanwhile, the inner surfaces of the veneers were etched with 7% hydrofluoric acid for 1 minute, rinsed with water and then the veneers were placed in the ultrasonic bath for 2 minutes. Silane (Monobond Plus, Ivoclar Vivadent) was applied to the etched surface of the veneers, which were then dried, and the bonding agent (Heliobond, Ivoclar Vivadent) was applied. Variolink Veneer in shade HV+1 was applied to the veneers’ surface and the veneers were placed on the abutments. Excess material was initially removed and precured for 10 seconds. The restoration edges were smeared with glycerine.
I mentioned at the beginning, apart from other crucial issues, it is important to preserve the patient’s own tissue as far as possible, which translates into the longevity and stability of the restorations. The case presented demonstrates that. We achieved satisfactory long-term aesthetic and functional results with minimum intervention.

After two years, there is perfect bone stability around the implants (Fig. 21a–d) and excellent gingival integration with the prostheses on both the implants and the natural teeth (Figs. 22a & b, 23a–d, 24).

**Conclusion**

Working with patients missing so many permanent teeth is extremely difficult and sometimes marked with compromise. Achieving a satisfactory result both functionally and aesthetically is possible only through the close co-operation of specialists from various fields of dentistry and meticulous planning from the commencement of treatment to the final aesthetic stage (Figs. 19a & b, 20). As